1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION
4	D21170
5	DAVIS, ) CV-14-3892-BLF )
6	PLAINTIFF, ) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA )
7	VS. ) MARCH, 23, 2017 )
8	CACH, LLC, ET AL, ) PAGES 1-9
9	DEFENDANT. ) )
10	)
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE BETH LABSON FREEMAN
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
14	
15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: BY: RAEON ROULSTON
16	CONSUMER LAW CENTER, INC.  12 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SUITE 1014
17	SAN JOSE, CA 95113
18	
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT: BY: STEPHEN SCOTT
20	HAYES SCOTT BONIONO ELLINGSON & MCLAY, LLP 203 REDWOOD SHORES PKWY., STE 480
21	REDWOOD SHORES, CA 94065
22	APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: SUMMER FISHER, CSR, CRR
24	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 13185
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
2	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	
3		MESSER STRICKLER, LTD. 225 W. WASHINGTON ST., SUITE 575 CHICAGO, IL 60606
4		CHICAGO, IL 00000
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA MARCH, 23, 2017
	2	PROCEEDINGS
	3	(COURT CONVENED AT 9:07 A.M.)
09:07:32	4	THE CLERK: CALLING CASE 14-3892. DAVIS VERSUS CACH,
09:07:37	5	LLC, ET AL.
09:07:45	6	COUNSEL, PLEASE COME FORWARD AND STATE YOUR APPEARANCES.
09:07:45	7	MR. SCOTT: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
09:07:46	8	STEVE SCOTT REPRESENTING CACH AND SQUARE TWO FINANCIAL.
09:07:50	9	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.
09:07:51	10	MR. DAVIS: AND GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. RAEON
09:07:53	11	ROULSTON FOR PLAINTIFF DAVIS.
09:07:54	12	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, MR. ROULSTON.
09:07:58	13	MS. STRICKLER: YOUR HONOR, NICOLE STRICKLER ON THE
09:08:01	14	PHONE FOR MANDARICH LAW GROUP AND THE ATTORNEY DEFENDANTS.
09:08:04	15	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, MS. STRICKLER.
09:08:06	16	MS. STRICKLER: GOOD MORNING.
09:08:07	17	THE COURT: SO WHAT SURPRISED ME IS WHEN I WAS
09:08:10	18	GREETED WITH THE BANKRUPTCY ISSUE REGARDING THE TWO CORPORATE
09:08:12	19	DEFENDANTS, CACH AND SQUARE ONE
09:08:19	20	MR. SCOTT: SQUARE TWO.
09:08:20	21	THE COURT: WE HAVE ANOTHER SQUARE ONE. SQUARE TWO.
09:08:23	22	SO WHAT CAN YOU TELL ME ABOUT THAT, MR. SCOTT, ARE WE IN
09:08:26	23	THE STAY POSITION HERE?
09:08:27	24	MR. SCOTT: I BELIEVE SO, YOUR HONOR.
09:08:29	25	I WAS NOTIFIED JUST THE DAY BEFORE YESTERDAY THAT THEIR

09:08:34	1	BANKRUPTCY COUNSEL HAS GIVEN ME A FORM TO FILE, AND I FILED IT
09:08:37	2	WITH THE COURT. AND BEYOND THAT, I DON'T KNOW.
09:08:39	3	THE COURT: YEAH.
09:08:40	4	MR. SCOTT: BUT I THINK THE STAY IS IN PLACE.
09:08:46	5	I'M SORRY TO SAY, I THINK EVERYBODY WOULD LIKE TO GET THIS
09:08:49	6	OVER WITH, BUT I'M CERTAINLY NOT AUTHORIZED TO WAIVE ANY STAY.
09:08:52	7	THE COURT: THE LAW IS THE LAW. IF THERE'S A STAY, I
09:08:55	8	AM COMPELLED TO COMPLY WITH IT.
09:08:57	9	MR. SCOTT: YEAH, I THINK THAT'S CORRECT. I'M NOT A
09:08:59	10	BANKRUPTCY EXPERT, BUT I KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT THAT, THAT I THINK
09:09:02	11	THAT'S TRUE.
09:09:03	12	THE COURT: RIGHT. LET ME JUST ASK A COUPLE OF
09:09:05	13	CLARIFYING QUESTIONS.
09:09:06	14	MS. STRICKLER, IN THE PAPERS, IT LOOKED AS THOUGH SOME OF
09:09:11	15	YOUR CLIENTS WERE NO LONGER PARTY TO THE CLAIMS IN ARBITRATION;
09:09:16	16	IS THAT CORRECT?
09:09:16	17	MS. STRICKLER: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE INDIVIDUAL
09:09:18	18	ATTORNEYS, MS. SUTLIAN, MR. SABAWI, AND I BELIEVE THOSE WERE
09:09:28	19	THE ONLY TWO. MR. VOS IS STILL A PARTY, AND THE LAW FIRM
09:09:34	20	DEFENDANT IS A PARTY, BUT THOSE TWO WERE DISMISSED.
09:09:37	21	I WOULD LIKE THEM TO BE DISMISSED FROM THE ACTION. I
09:09:39	22	DON'T THINK THE STAY ACTUALLY ACTS AS TO THE NON-VIOLATE
09:09:43	23	PARTIES.
09:09:43	24	THE COURT: THE STAY I THINK THAT'S RIGHT.
09:09:45	25	HOWEVER, THEN I HAVE TO DETERMINE WHETHER I CAN GO FORWARD

ON ANY PART OF THE CASE WITH THE BANKRUPTCY PENDING, IF I HAVE 09:09:50 1 EITHER. SO THAT'S WHAT I HAVE BEEN LOOKING AT HERE. 09:09:55 2 AND AGAIN, I HAVE TO DEAL WITH THIS ISSUE BEFORE I EVEN 09:09:59 3 09:10:02 4 GET TO THE UNDERLYING ONE, ALTHOUGH I PUT QUITE A BIT OF TIME 09:10:06 5 INTO IT BECAUSE YOU PRESENTED QUITE A BIT OF MATERIAL, AS YOU 09:10:10 6 DID. SO MR. ROULSTON, YOU AND YOUR FIRM HAVE PUT AN 09:10:13 7 EXTRAORDINARY AMOUNT OF WORK INTO THIS CASE, I RECOGNIZE THAT, 09:10:16 8 AS HAVE THE DEFENDANTS, BUT HAVING READ THE DECISIONS THAT WERE 09:10:19 9 09:10:28 10 ISSUED BY THE ARBITRATOR, IT'S CLEAR THIS HAS BEEN THOUGHTFULLY 09:10:33 11 LITIGATED FOR QUITE SOME TIME. 09:10:37 12 MR. ROULSTON, I THINK I HAVE NO CHOICE BUT TO STAY THIS CASE AS TO CACH AND SQUARE TWO. 09:10:43 13 DO YOU DISAGREE WITH THAT? 09:10:46 14 09:10:48 15 MR. DAVIS: I ACTUALLY DON'T, YOUR HONOR. IF THERE'S A STAY IN PLACE, THEN I THINK IT'S JURISDICTIONAL. 09:10:49 16 09:10:52 17 THE COURT: YEAH. 09:10:53 18 I THINK THAT THEN BRINGS THE LAW FIRM AND MR. VOS ALONG 09:10:57 19 WITH THEM, NOT A STAY BECAUSE OF THE BANKRUPTCY, BUT JUST AS A 09:11:02 20 COLLATERAL CONSEQUENCE OF IT. I CAN'T CONFIRM THIS AWARD, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, SEND IT 09:11:04 21 BACK TO THIS COURT AND GRANT THE RECONSIDERATION AS TO TWO 09:11:11 22 09:11:16 23 PARTIES AND NOT ALL OF THEM. 09:11:19 24 FOR EXAMPLE, IF I WERE TO CONFIRM THE AWARD FOR THE TWO, 09:11:23 25 THEN I THINK THAT, YOU KNOW, THAT COULD BE A PROBLEM. BUT MORE

CONCERNING, IS IF I WERE TO BRING THE CASE BACK TO FEDERAL 09:11:28 1 COURT AS TO TWO AND DEPRIVE CACH OF THE OPPORTUNITY TO FURTHER 2 09:11:31 ARGUE THAT, I THINK THAT WOULD DEPRIVE THEM OF THEIR RIGHTS. 09:11:36 09:11:40 4 SO I THINK I'M IN A SITUATION HERE OF NEEDING TO, IN LIGHT 09:11:45 5 OF THE BANKRUPTCY, TO STAY THE ENTIRE ACTION. AND I DON'T KNOW 09:11:51 6 WHETHER THIS MATTER GETS REFERRED TO THE BANKRUPTCY COURT OR WHETHER IT IS SOMETHING THAT SOMEONE WILL SEEK RELIEF FROM THE 09:11:54 7 AUTOMATIC STAY, I DON'T KNOW HOW BIG THIS COMPANY IS AND HOW 09:11:59 8 COMPLEX THE BANKRUPTCY IS. I THINK YOU INDICATED IT'S A 09:12:04 9 09:12:08 10 CHAPTER 11 REORGANIZATION, THAT CAN TAKE SOME TIME. IT'S 09:12:12 11 OBVIOUSLY BRAND NEW. 09:12:14 12 MR. SCOTT: RIGHT. THE DAY BEFORE YESTERDAY. THE COURT: RIGHT. 09:12:16 13 MR. SCOTT: AND THERE'S A NUMBER OF COMPANIES THAT 09:12:18 14 09:12:20 15 ARE ALSO --THE COURT: OKAY. SOME CASES JUST NEVER CAN COME TO 09:12:23 16 09:12:29 17 AN END, CAN THEY. 09:12:30 18 IT'S JUST, THE RECORD HERE IS PRETTY REMARKABLE, AND WITH 09:12:36 19 AN ULTIMATE RULING ON THE MERITS, IT EITHER SIMPLIFIES OR 09:12:40 20 COMPLICATES THINGS. BUT REALLY WHAT'S PRESENTED TO ME FROM MR. ROULSTON IS A RULE 60(B) MOTION, WHICH AT A HIGH LEVEL, AND 09:12:43 21 09:12:47 22 PROCEDURALLY HAS ITS OWN ISSUES SEPARATE FROM THE MERITS OF THE 09:12:51 23 UNDERLYING CASE. 09:12:53 24 ALL RIGHT. I THINK THE BEST THAT I CAN DO THEN, SO THAT 09:12:57 25 THERE'S NO QUESTION THAT I'M MAKING ABSOLUTELY NO RULING ON THE

09:13:01	1	SUBSTANCE OF THIS MATTER, IS TO STAY THE PROCEEDINGS.
09:13:03	2	AND WHAT WOULD BE A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME FOR YOU TO
09:13:05	3	GIVE ME A WRITTEN STATUS UPDATE ON THE BANKRUPTCY?
09:13:10	4	MR. SCOTT: THOSE CAN GO ON FOR YEARS, YOUR HONOR. I
09:13:12	5	DON'T KNOW THAT I HAVE A GOOD ANSWER TO THAT, BUT CAN I SUGGEST
09:13:17	6	MAYBE SIX MONTHS? I HONESTLY DON'T KNOW.
09:13:20	7	THE COURT: OKAY.
09:13:21	8	MR. SCOTT: IT LOOKS LIKE IT COULD BE COMPLICATED
09:13:23	9	ENOUGH.
09:13:24	10	THE COURT: OKAY.
09:13:24	11	MR. SCOTT: BUT CERTAINLY IF WE HEAR SOONER THAN
09:13:26	12	THAT, WE WILL APPRISE THE COURT.
09:13:28	13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
09:13:29	14	MR. ROULSTON, ANY OBJECTION TO SIX MONTHS?
09:13:31	15	MR. DAVIS: NO, I DO SOME BANKRUPTCY PRACTICE MYSELF.
09:13:33	16	I THINK THAT'S PRETTY REASONABLE. I COULDN'T, IN GOOD
09:13:36	17	CONSCIENCE, ASK FOR LESS THAN THAT.
09:13:38	18	THE COURT: I APPRECIATE THAT. THANK YOU FOR THAT.
09:13:41	19	ALL RIGHT. THEN THE CASE IS STAYED. I WILL ASK FOR A
09:13:43	20	WRITTEN JOINT STATUS UPDATE ON THE BANKRUPTCY. SIX MONTHS FROM
09:13:48	21	NOW WOULD BE
09:13:49	22	THE CLERK: THAT DATE WOULD BE MONDAY,
09:13:51	23	SEPTEMBER 25TH.
09:13:52	24	THE COURT: BY SEPTEMBER 25TH, ANY OTHER SIGNIFICANT
09:13:55	25	ACTION IN THE BANKRUPTCY CASE AFFECTING THIS ACTION, OF COURSE

09:13:58	1	YOU ARE FREE TO COMMUNICATE WITH ME SOONER, AND WE WILL SEE.
09:14:04	2	AND I CERTAINLY I DON'T KNOW WHAT IS LIKELY TO COME OF
09:14:09	3	ANY SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS UNDER THE UMBRELLA OF THE
09:14:13	4	BANKRUPTCY, BUT I CERTAINLY ALWAYS URGE YOU, UNDER THAT
09:14:16	5	UMBRELLA AND THE BANKRUPTCY COUNSEL, TO EXPLORE ANY METHOD OF
09:14:21	6	RESOLUTION OF THIS CASE.
09:14:23	7	OBVIOUSLY THINGS HAVE CHANGED IN YOUR CALCULATION. SO
09:14:26	8	THANK YOU BOTH FOR COMING IN, AND I GUESS I WILL HEAR FROM YOU
09:14:29	9	IN SIX MONTHS.
09:14:30	10	MR. SCOTT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
09:14:30	11	MR. DAVIS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
09:14:31	12	MS. STRICKLER: THANK YOU.
09:14:32	13	(THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED AT 9:14 A.M.)
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
5	
6	
7	
8	I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT
9	REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
10	THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH
11	FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY
12	CERTIFY:
13	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT,
14	CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND
15	CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS
16	SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS
17	HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED
18	TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	0
24	Sing Find

25 SUMMER A. FISHER, CSR, CRR
CERTIFICATE NUMBER 13185

DATED: 6/18/18